

Rio de Janeiro, March / 13 / 2001

Must Illustrious Mr. Alain J. P. Belda, President of Alcoa International

For your knowledge and for the measures that you think should be taken, I send you the copies of the two letters that were sent to the President of Alcoa in Brazil.

Cordially

WAUTERLÔ TEIXEIRA PONTES

Rio de Janeiro, March / 13 / 2001

Most Illustrious Mr. Adjarma Azevedo, Chairman of Alcoa, in Brazil

In September / 14 / 2000, I've sent a correspondence to you in which I've denounced very odd facts related to the acquisition by that company of a house located at Albert Sabin St., # 653, in the district of Trombetas, county of Teresópolis, state of Rio de Janeiro.

As mentioned in such correspondence, the cited house has been object of law suits which, for about 13 years, I've been conducting against mr. Paulo Strucchi and the County of Teresópolis City Hall. I've also informed that, in April of last year, by occasion in which such piece of property had already been acquired by Alcoa of Brazil, a judicial expert's survey, solicited by me to corroborate that the former owner had sold the property without obliging to a sentence determined by the judge of the Second Civil Jurisdiction of the county of Teresópolis which obligated him to do some work in the area to prevent the infiltration in my property of the rain waters coming from his property.

Having in mind that the Justice has not manifested itself about the findings resulting from that survey, none of the parts involved in the law suit could do any work or any other modifications which could misrepresent the conclusions of those findings. In spite of your knowledge of the survey, Alcoa has been making renovations in the house, and such work have already destroyed several evidences that could incriminate the former owner of the house.

With the intention to annex evidences about that fact to the law suit, I've solicited to City Hall the expedition of a certificate of everything related to the works that had been executed in detriment of such done survey, including all the justifications, blue prints and projects related to such work.. Accordingly to the Teresópolis county laws, City Hall had to issue the required certificate until February / 05, but it has refused to issue it, and my attorney, considering such motive, will ask the judge of the Second Civil Jurisdiction of Teresópolis to determine that the Public Power urgently accomplishes that obligation.

Considering the possibility of such certificate not be issued by City Hall, for I've already petition for a Judicial Writ that prevent it to refuse to issue the certificates asked by me, and that for several years it has been ignoring such judicial decision, I hereby request you to provide copies of every documents, surveys, blue prints and projects of all work done by Alcoa, after the survey was done.

Outraged with the way Alcoa of Brazil has treated me, which I understand wouldn't be the same way a citizen resident in the country of origin of that company would be treated, I let you know that I am sending to mr. Alain J. P. Belda, Presidente of Alcoa International, copies of this letter and of another one, that was sent March / 14 / 2000, translated to English.

I'm also taking this opportunity to bring to your knowledge two facts related to the transaction of the cited house which aroused my curiosity and which, maybe, in the same manner, would arouse yours.

The certificate issued by the Third Clerk of Land Properties Registration shows us that the house in which Alcoa of Brazil has spent, in September / 19 / 1999, the amount of R\$ 295.655,64 is the same house which the ideal half of the property was acquired by mr. Paulo Strucchi, in October / 29 / 1997, by the amount of R\$ 1.000,00 ( one thousand reais).

By the same certificate, one can verify that the house, located in the county of Teresópolis, state of Rio de Janeiro, was bought by a division of Alcoa of Brazil, located in Poços de Caldas, state of Minas Gerais, being such acquisition registered in a public notary in the city of São Paulo, capital of the state of São Paulo.

In the awaiting of your urgent pronouncement about the solicited requests, I cordially underwrite

WAUTERLÔ TEIXEIRA PONTES

Rio de Janeiro, September / 14 / 2000

Most Illustrious Mr. Adjarma Azevedo, Chairman of Alcoa in Brazil

As it should be of your knowledge, the residence sited at Albert Sabin St. # 653, in the district of Jardim Trombetas, county of Teresópolis, state of Rio de Janeiro, came to be part of the list of properties of that company, since December / 1999.

This property's former owner, mr. Paulo Roberto Strucchi, one of the associates of de Adal Company that produces aluminum frames with raw material acquired from Alcoa, would have donated it as a way of freeing himself of pending debts, related to the supplying of material to its factories.

Such informations were given to me by mr. Norival ( tel : 11- 72870810 ), that identified himself as a person in charge of the company that administrates the Alcoa properties when, in June of the current year, I've formally questioned him about the motives by which the Real State Company Confirma, in Teresópolis, was putting for sale, in the name of Alcoa, the cited house. In that occasion, mr. Norival added that another property of mr. Paulo Roberto Strucchi, located in the county of Araruama, state of Rio de Janeiro, had also taken part in that transaction.

It happens that the Teresópolis property is the main object of law suits which, for about 12 years, I have been conducting against mr. Paulo Roberto Strucchi, in the Civil Jurisdictions of the county of Teresópolis. For your knowledge of the motives that took me to start those suits, I'm annexing to this correspondence some considerations in regard to the same (Annex "A"). Further details about those cited law suits can be found in the home page [www.infolink.com.br/wauterlo](http://www.infolink.com.br/wauterlo) - Crusade for the External Control of the Judiciary Power - in which I propose the criation of an external organ to control the Brazilian Judiciary Power, for not being in accordance with several proceedings or decisions uttered by Justice in law suits related to this house.

Seeing all that, I couldn't believe when the Real State Company Confirma informed me that such residence no longer belonged to mr. Paulo Strucchi but to the Alcoa Company. The dispute I sustain with mr. Paulo Strucchi is well known in Teresópolis, it has already been motive of publication in the local press and, besides, I had already filed in the county's City Hall several denouncements about irregularities and / or illegalities occurred during the construction of that residence, as can be verified in the Annex "A".

I couldn't believe, also, when consulting the Alcoa site in the Internet, I've verified that the firm acts in 8 ( eight ) segments and that none of those segments has a thing to do with real state transactions. Even more incredulous I've got when, in the same page, I've found that Construction was one of the 8 ( eight ) segments of that

firm's operations. I'd reasoned that if I, who didn't have a course in engineering, could find all the various irregularities showed in the Annex "A", it would be even easier for Alcoa to verify them, having in mind that in its organogram there are sectors specialized in Construction and a Law Department, that should have a team of engineers and highly qualified lawyers.

I could only come to believe the day I've received an e-mail from mr. Norival, confirming the transaction ( Annex "B" ), inspite having already being informed by the same mr. Norival that Alcoa had been deceived by mr. Paulo Roberto Strucchi, and that we should unite our strenght against the former owner of the house, because he thought that I had been, as much as Alcoa, a victim of his bad faith.

If it's very odd the fact that one sole individual could have deceived one company which possesses 250 operations units in 30 diferent countries, being 25 of those in Brazil, and a team of about 100.600 employees, maybe it's even harder to accept one fact that has occurred and that I will describe below.

In August / 19 / 1994, accordingly to the sentence pronounced by the judge of the Second Civil Jurisdiction of Teresópolis, mr. Paulo Roberto Strucchi was condemned, within a 60 ( sixty ) days deadline, to execute work in the residence he would come to negotiate with Alcoa, in order to avoid water flowage of any kind to my land, under the penalty of, if not executed within such deadline, having to pay the daily fine of R\$ 100,00.

The technical survey that I've required to the Teresópolis Justice and that happened to confirm that mr. Paulo Strucchi had not accomplished his obligation, was made in March / 14 and April / 11 of the current year. If, in those dates, mr. Paulo Roberto Strucchi no longer owned that house, what would be the motives that made Alcoa give the keys to his assistent technician, engineer João Rucos, who represented him, to open the gates and the rooms of the residence for the execution of that survey, and to behave, in the contacts he had with the female expert, like mr. Strucchi was still the owner of such residence ? If mr. Strucchi opened the house without Alcoa's authorization, we have to agree that, for the second time, he could fool that company. If this last hypothesis prevails, one may conclude that Alcoa, inspite of not having authorized it, didn't disapprove mr. Paulo Roberto Strucchi's attitude, for it's not in the records that the same had been judicially summoned for the trespassing of that residence.

What makes me write you this letter is the fact that mr. Norival , that took my first denouncements with a lot of receptivity and understanding, had informed me that he no longer would respond to any of my questionings about Alcoa's position in the case in question. This gentleman refused to inform me your address, affirming that he had no authorization to do so, but, due to my being insistent, he ended up agreeing to give me a phone number of the Company's Law Department ( # 11- 37414983 ), suggesting that I should try to get in touch with dr. Hércules. I've left a message in his answering machine, asking dr. Hércules to get in touch with me to talk about that issue, but I didn't have any response from him.

If Alcoa is now the owner of a house which the former owner had to do some necessary work to preserve my physical safety and of my relatives and had not done it, as proved in the recent technical survey that was done, I don't understand that company's position, not wanting to say a word about the issue. To deny me informations about that fact is a disrespect to my rights as a citizen. One multinational company cannot situate itself above the laws of the country where it operates. Taking into consideration what has been exposed, you must consider that, in order to solve a company's internal problem, Alcoa brought to itself an external problem that can not be charged to the account of a third person and / or to the ones who have not participate in the transaction.

In conclusion, I communicate to you that, for being the above mentioned facts directly related to the issue that motivated the criation of the cited home page , it is my intention to include in that home page a copy of the present correspondence. I also intend, in case you don't give to the issue the due priority and attention it deserves, to send a copy of this letter to Alcoa's headquarters, in the United States of America.

Cordially

WAUTERLÔ TEIXEIRA PONTES